

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www wayto gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,379	10/18/2005	Gerhard Eser	78857.105313	3677
86528 7590 10/07/2010 King & Spalding LLP			EXAMINER	
401 Corpress Avenue Suite 3200 Austin, TX 78701			COLEMAN, KEITH A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3741	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/07/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

AustinUSPTO@kslaw.com AustinIP@kslaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/553,379	ESER ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	KEITH COLEMAN	3741			

L	ontinuation Sheet (PTOL-303) Application No.
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
	1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allwarner; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706,07(f).
	Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
	2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
	 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
	(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
	4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	 ∑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is for will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) be detected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>10.12-17.19-23 and 25-29.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
	AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
	8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
	9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 4.13(d)(1).
	10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
	11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regards to Applicant's arguments on Pages 1-2 regarding Figure 6 not showing a pressure gradient. Examiner does not concur. In Applicant's specification, Applicant has defined "gradient" as a change in "pressure values". Figure 6 as stated on
	Col. 7. Lines 10-15 discloses pulse waves correlated with the pumping rate of the fuel pump. As stated earlier in the reference, pulses waves are "shaped pressure waves" or changes in pressure which the reference discusses as shaping them on Col. 5. Lines 35-40. As such, the pressure oradients are the shaped pressure waves.
	With regards to Applicant's arguments on Pages 3-6 regarding Figures 5A-5D not showing a comparison of a pressure gradient,
	Examiner does not concur. As stated on Col. 5. Lines 65-88, the microprocessor modifies the desired quantity of fuel via changing the desire fuel shape pulse or fuel gradient as stated on Col. 6, Lines 60-68. Points A, B, C, and D show the differences in cam profiles to obtain the desired fuel quantity. Figure 5B and 5D show the changes in the actual shape
	pulse/gradient to the desired shape pulse/gradient to optimize engine performance.
	12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:
ı	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Michael Cuff/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3741 /K. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 3741

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20100930